

WHICH SINGULAR K3 SURFACES COVER AN ENRIQUES SURFACE

ALİ SİNAN SERTÖZ

ABSTRACT. We determine the necessary and sufficient conditions on the entries of the intersection matrix of the transcendental lattice of a K3 surface for the K3 surface to doubly cover an Enriques surface.

1. INTRODUCTION

When X is a singular K3 surface over the field $\mathbb{C}$, the transcendental lattice T_X of X is denoted by its intersection matrix

$$(1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2a & c \\ c & 2b \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to some basis $\{u, v\}$, where $a, b > 0$ and $4ab - c^2 > 0$. For the definitions and basic facts about K3 surfaces we refer to [1].

If U denotes the hyperbolic lattice of rank 2 and if E_8 denotes the even unimodular negative definite lattice of rank 8, we then define a sublattice Λ^- of the K3-lattice Λ as

$$\Lambda^- = U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8(2).$$

Following the works of Horikawa on the period map of Enriques surfaces and work of Nikulin on the embeddings of even lattices, Keum gave an integral lattice theoretical criterion for the existence of a fixed point free involution on a K3 surface, [5, 6, 11, 7]. This criterion is then applied in [7] to show that every Kummer surface is the double cover of some Enriques surface, which corresponds to taking a, b, c of T_X even and $17 \leq \rho(X) \leq 20$, see also [10, 8].

Date: May 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 14J28; Secondary: 11E39.

Key words and phrases. K3 surfaces, Enriques surfaces, integral lattices.

Research partially supported by TÜBİTAK-BDP.

A K3 surface with $12 \leq \rho(X) \leq 20$ covers an Enriques surface if and only if there is a primitive embedding $\phi : T_X \rightarrow \Lambda^-$ such that the orthogonal complement of the image in Λ^- contains no self intersection -2 vector, and when $\rho(X) = 10$ or 11 , one also needs to have $\text{length}(T_X) \leq \rho(X) - 2$, [7, Theorem 1].

We implement this criterion to find explicit necessary and sufficient conditions on the entries of T_X so that X covers an Enriques surface when $\rho(X) = 20$. In practice, if X actually covers an Enriques surface it is sometimes, but by no means always, easy to exhibit an embedding $\phi : T_X \rightarrow \Lambda^-$ such that i) it is possible to demonstrate that ϕ is primitive and that ii) it is possible to show that the existence of a self intersection -2 vector in $\phi(X)^\perp$ leads to a contradiction. Moreover in case X does not cover an Enriques surface then it is hard work to demonstrate that for every embedding the orthogonal complement of the image has a self intersection -2 vector. We resolve this difficulty in

Theorem 1. *If X is a singular K3 surface with transcendental lattice given as in (1), then X covers an Enriques surface if and only if one of the following conditions hold:*

I *a, b and c are even. (Keum's result, see [7]).*

II *c is odd and ab is even.*

III-1 *c is even. a or b is odd. The form $ax^2 + cxy + by^2$ does not represent 1.*

III-2 *c is even. a or b is odd. The form $ax^2 + cxy + by^2$ represents 1, and $4ab - c^2 \neq 4, 8, 16$.*

Equivalently, X fails to doubly cover an Enriques surface if and only if one of the following conditions hold:

III-3 *c is even. a or b is odd. The form $ax^2 + cxy + by^2$ represents 1, and $4ab - c^2 = 4, 8, 16$.*

IV *abc is odd.*

2. PARITIES IN TRANSCENDENTAL LATTICE

Before we proceed with the proof we must check that the parity properties given in Theorem 1 are well defined.

Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then every matrix of the form ${}^t\gamma T_X \gamma$ represents the transcendental lattice of X with respect to some basis.

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t\gamma T_X \gamma &= \begin{pmatrix} 2(ax^2 + cxz + bz^2) & 2axy + c(xw + yz) + 2bwz \\ 2axy + c(xw + yz) + 2bwz & 2(ay^2 + cyw + bw^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2a' & c' \\ c' & 2b' \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

we see by inspection that

- I** If a, b , and c are even, then a', b' and c' are even.
- II** If c is odd and ab is even, then c' is odd and $a'b'$ is even.
- III** If c is even with a or b odd, then c' is even with a' or b' odd.
- IV** If abc is odd, then $a'b'c'$ is odd.

3. TWO LEMMAS ON INTEGRAL LATTICES

We require two lemmas on integral lattices. The first one is a divisibility result which will enable us later to conclude that a certain lattice is unimodular. The second one is a numerical implementation for the primitiveness of an embedding in terms of the entries of the matrix of embedding.

We freely use some fundamental concepts related to integral lattices for which we can refer to [1, 3, 4, 9].

Let $M = (\mathbb{Z}^n, A)$ be an integral lattice where $A = {}^tA$ is the intersection matrix, and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ be a primitive element, i.e. $\gcd(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 1$. We denote by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_M$ the inner product of the vectors α and β in M . Denote the orthogonal complement of α in M by $\alpha^\perp$. Let $\{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ be a basis of $\alpha^\perp$.

Since $\alpha^\perp$ is a primitive sublattice, its basis can be extended to a basis of M , say by the addition of a vector β_1 . If $\beta_i = (b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $B = (b_{ij})$ is the $n \times n$ integral matrix formed by the entries of the β_i 's, then $\det B = \pm 1$.

Let $\text{Adj } B = (m_{ij})$. Then in particular we can write

$$(2) \quad \pm 1 = \det B = b_{11}m_{11} + b_{12}m_{21} + \dots + b_{1n}m_{n1},$$

where m_{ij} is $(-1)^{i+j}$ times the determinant of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from B by deleting the j^{th} row and i^{th} column. This equation implies

$$(3) \quad \gcd(m_{11}, m_{12}, \dots, m_{n1}) = 1.$$

Lemma 2. *The index of $\alpha \oplus \alpha^\perp$ in M divides $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_M$.*

Proof. Let C be the $n \times n$ matrix obtained by replacing the first row of B by α . Then $|\det C|$ is the index of $\alpha \oplus \alpha^\perp$ in M . Let D be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix defined by

$$CA^tC = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_M & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & D & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (A^tC)^1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y &= (\text{Adj } C)^1, \end{aligned}$$

where the superscript denotes as usual the column number. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_M Y &= \det C X, \text{ or equivalently} \\ x_i \det C &= \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_M m_{i1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

If $\det C$ does not divide $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_M$, then there is a prime factor p of $\det C$ such that $p \nmid \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_M$ and $p \mid m_{i1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. This contradicts equation 3. $\square$

Next we derive some working tools to recognize the primitiveness of an embedding through the embedding matrix.

Let L_1 and L_2 be two lattices with base elements $e_1, \dots, e_n$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m$ respectively where $m \geq n$. Assume that we have an embedding of L_1 into L_2 given by

$$\phi(e_i) = a_{i1}f_1 + \dots + a_{im}f_m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where the a_{ij} 's are integers.

Set

$$A = (a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$$

and for any choice of integers $1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_n \leq m$, define

$$\begin{aligned} A(t_1, \dots, t_n) &= (a_{it_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \\ \Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n) &= \det A(t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ C(t_1, \dots, t_n) &= \text{Adj } A(t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ &= (c_{ij}(t_1, \dots, t_n))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \end{aligned}$$

Let $z \in L_2$ be an element such that $Nz \in \phi(L_1)$, for some positive integer N , i.e. there exist integers $c_1, \dots, c_n$ such that

$$Nz = c_1\phi(e_1) + \dots + c_n\phi(e_n).$$

We may assume without loss of generality that

$$(N, c_1, \dots, c_n) = 1.$$

Lemma 3. *With the above notation $N|\Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ for every choice of integers $1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_n \leq m$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Nz &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \phi(e_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} f_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{ij} \right) f_j \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N \mid \sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{ij} \text{ for every } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

In particular for any choice of integers $d_1, \dots, d_m$ we have

$$N \mid \sum_{j=1}^m d_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{ij} \right)$$

or equivalently

$$(4) \quad N \mid \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j a_{ij} \right).$$

For any choice of integers $1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_n \leq m$ and $1 \leq s \leq n$ define for $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$d_j = \begin{cases} c_{ks}(t_1, \dots, t_n) & \text{if } j = t_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

With these choices of d_j 's it follows that for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m d_j a_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} c_{ks}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ &= \delta_{is} \Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n), \end{aligned}$$

and equation (4) becomes

$$N \mid c_s \Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n) \text{ for every } s = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $(N, c_1, \dots, c_n) = 1$, it follows that $N \mid \Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ as required. $\square$

Set

$$d = \gcd\{\Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid 1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_n \leq m\}.$$

Corollary 4. *If $d = 1$, then ϕ is primitive.* $\square$

Lemma 5. *If $d > 1$, then the embedding ϕ is not primitive.*

Proof. When $d > 1$, we explicitly construct an element $z \in L_2$ and an integer $N > 1$ such that $z \notin \phi(L_1)$ but $Nz \in \phi(L_1)$.

Let $d = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ be the prime decomposition of d . Without loss of generality assume, after rearranging basis if necessary, that $\Delta(1, \dots, n) = dK$ where $p_1 \cdots p_r \nmid K$. For convenience set the notation as

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= A(1, \dots, n) = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \\ \Delta_0 &= \Delta(1, \dots, n) \\ C_0 &= C(1, \dots, n) = (c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \text{Adj } A_0 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore define

$$d_i = \gcd(c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Assume that $d \nmid d_i$ for all i . Then $d^n \mid \Delta_0^{n-1}$, and $d \mid K^{n-1}$ which contradicts the choice of Δ_0 .

Thus $d \nmid d_\ell$ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq n$.

Set $d_0 = \gcd(d, d_\ell)$ and note that $1 \leq d_0 < d$.

Define the integers $k_1, \dots, k_m, c_1, \dots, c_n$ and N as

$$\begin{aligned} k_i &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, \hat{\ell}, \dots, n\} \\ \Delta_0/d_0 & \text{if } i = \ell \\ \Delta(1, \dots, \ell-1, i, \ell+1, \dots, n)/d_0 & \text{if } i = n+1, \dots, m. \end{cases} \\ c_i &= c_{\ell i}/d_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ N &= d/d_0. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(5) \quad N \mid k_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$(6) \quad \gcd(N, c_1, \dots, c_n) = 1,$$

and

$$(7) \quad (c_1, \dots, c_n)A = (k_1, \dots, k_m).$$

To prove (5), note that $d \mid \Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ for any choice of integers $1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_n \leq m$, so $N = (d/d_0) \mid (\Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n)/d_0)$. From the definition of the k_i 's it follows now that $N \mid k_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

To prove (6) recall that $\gcd(c_{\ell 1}, \dots, c_{\ell n}) = d_\ell$ and $d_0 = \gcd(d, d_\ell)$ so $\gcd(N = d/d_0, c_1 = c_{\ell 1}/d_0, \dots, c_n = c_{\ell n}/d_0) = 1$.

To prove (7) it suffices to observe that

$$(c_{\ell 1}, \dots, c_{\ell n}) \begin{pmatrix} a_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{ni} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \delta_{\ell i} \Delta_0 & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n \\ \Delta(1, \dots, \ell-1, i, \ell+1, \dots, n) & \text{if } i = n+1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

Finally we put these together to define the torsion element z as

$$z = \frac{k_1}{N} f_1 + \dots + \frac{k_m}{N} f_m \in L_2.$$

It is now clear that

$$Nz = c_1 \phi(e_1) + \dots + c_n \phi(e_n) \in \phi(L_1),$$

and

$$z \notin \phi(L_1).$$

Hence we conclude that if $d > 1$, then ϕ is not primitive. $\square$

The following theorem follows as a corollary of these two lemmas.

Theorem 6. *A lattice embedding is primitive if and only if the greatest common divisor of the maximal minors of the embedding matrix with respect to any choice of basis is 1.* $\square$

Though we gave a constructive proof of this theorem it is possible to derive it from general principles. The rank of the matrix A being n , it has an integral diagonal form where the principal diagonal entries are $h_1, \dots, h_n$, and all other entries are 0. If g_i denotes the greatest common divisor of all $i \times i$ minors of A , then $g_i | g_{i+1}$ and $g_i = h_1 \cdots h_i$. In particular $g_n = 1$ if and only if all the h_i 's are 1, i.e. $\phi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is primitive if and only if there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of L_1 and a basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ of L_2 such that $\phi(e_i) = f_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that g_n , the greatest common divisor of the maximal minors of the embedding matrix A , is the order of torsion of the quotient $L_2/\phi(L_1)$.

As an immediate application of this theorem we can indicate that all the mappings in [7, pp106-108] have embedding matrices whose maximal minors have greatest common divisor equal to 1.

4. THE CASE WHEN c IS EVEN WITH a OR b ODD

If a is even, then set $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. If ${}^t_\gamma T_X \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 2a' & c' \\ c' & 2b' \end{pmatrix}$, then a' and b' are odd, and c' is even. If b is even, then $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ changes T_X into an equivalent form where again a' and b' are odd, and c' even. So we might assume without loss of generality that ab is odd, and c is even.

We will consider a particular embedding of T_X into $\Lambda^- = U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8(2)$.

Let $\{u, v\}$ be a basis of T_X , $\{u_1, u_2\}$ be a basis of U and $\{v_1, v_2\}$ be a basis of $U(2)$.

Define $\phi : T_X \rightarrow \Lambda^-$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u) &= u_1 + au_2, \\ \phi(v) &= u_1 + (c-a)u_2 + v_1 + \frac{1}{2}(a+b-c)v_2. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown by direct computation that this is an embedding and by theorem 6 that this embedding is primitive.

4.1. The form $ax^2 + cxy + by^2$ does not represent 1.

Let $f = xu_1 + x'u_2 + yv_1 + y'v_2 + e \in \Lambda^-$, where $e \in E_8(2)$ with $e \cdot e = -4k$, $k \geq 0$. (we will use $\cdot$ to denote the inner product on Λ^-).

Impose the condition that f lies in the orthogonal complement of $\phi(T_X)$ in Λ^- and that $f \cdot f = -2$.

Solving the equations $f \cdot \phi(u) = 0$, $f \cdot \phi(v) = 0$ for x', y' and substituting into the equation $f \cdot f = -2$ gives

$$(8) \quad 1 - (ax^2 + (c - 2a)xy + (a + b - c)y^2) = 2k \geq 0.$$

The binary quadratic form $ax^2 + (c - 2a)xy + (a + b - c)y^2$ is equivalent to the form $ax^2 + cxy + by^2$. Since $a > 0$ and $c^2 - 4ab < 0$, this is a positive definite form. Equation (8) holds if and only if this form represents 1, and then $k = 0$. (see [12])

If we assume that the form $ax^2 + cxy + by^2$ does not represent 1, then equation (8) cannot be solved, so there is no self intersection -2 vector in the orthogonal complement of $\phi(T_X)$.

This proves **III-1**.

4.2. The form $ax^2 + cxy + by^2$ does represent 1.

In this case the binary quadratic form $ax^2 + cxy + by^2$ is equivalent to the form $x^2 + (ab - c^2/4)y^2$, see [12, p174]. Then a basis $\{u, v\}$ of the transcendental lattice exists such that with respect to that basis the matrix

$$T_X = \begin{pmatrix} 2(1) & 0 \\ 0 & 2(\frac{\Delta}{4}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Delta = 4ab - c^2$.

Let ϕ be a primitive embedding of T_X into Λ^- and set $\phi(u) = \alpha$ with

$$\alpha = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + a_3v_1 + a_4v_4 + \omega_1$$

where $\omega_1 \in E_8(2)$ with $\omega \cdot \omega = -4k \leq 0$.

$\alpha \cdot \alpha = 2$ forces a_1 and a_2 to be odd.

If $\beta = b_1u_1 + b_2u_2 + b_3v_1 + b_4v_4 + \omega_2$ is in the orthogonal complement $\alpha^\perp$ of α in Λ^- , then $\beta \cdot \alpha = 0$ forces b_1 and b_2 to be of the same parity. This in turn implies the following

Lemma 7. *If $\beta, \gamma \in \alpha^\perp$, then $\beta \cdot \gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.*

Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{11}$ be basis elements for $\alpha^\perp$, and $B' = (2b_{ij})$, $2b_{ij} = \beta_i \cdot \beta_j$ the intersection matrix for this basis. Set $B = (b_{ij})$.

Let C be the 12×12 -matrix whose rows are the coordinates of $\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{11}$ with respect to the standard basis of Λ^- . And finally let A denote the intersection matrix of Λ^- with respect to its standard basis. We have

$$(9) \quad CA^tC = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & B' & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{11}$ is not a basis of Λ^- , $|\det C| > 1$. By lemma 2, $|\det C|$ divides 2, hence is equal to 2. By interchanging β_1 by β_2 if necessary, we can assume without loss of generality that $\det C = 2$.

It then follows from equation (9) that $\det B = 1$.

Define a new lattice $L = (\mathbb{Z}^{11}, B(-1))$. L has signature $(\tau^+, \tau^-) = (10, 1)$. Since $\tau^+ - \tau^- \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, L is odd. Then L is an indeterminate, odd, unimodular lattice, and as such is isomorphic to $\langle -1 \rangle^1 \oplus \langle 1 \rangle^{10}$.

There is an isomorphism $F : \alpha^\perp \rightarrow L$ which sends β_i to $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$, where 1 is in the i -th place. This isomorphism respects inner products in the sense that

$$-2[F(\lambda_1) \cdot F(\lambda_2)] = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2, \text{ for all } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \alpha^\perp.$$

Let $e'_1, \dots, e'_{11}$ be a basis of L diagonalizing its intersection matrix. Then the intersection matrix of $\alpha \oplus \alpha^\perp$ with respect to the basis $\alpha, F^{-1}(e'_1), \dots, F^{-1}(e'_{11})$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We are looking for the existence of a primitive embedding

$$\phi : T_X \longrightarrow \alpha \oplus \alpha^\perp \subset \Lambda^-$$

such that with respect to this new basis of $\alpha \oplus \alpha^\perp$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u) &= (1, 0, \dots, 0), \\ \phi(v) &= (0, x_0, \dots, x_{10}) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\phi(v) \cdot \phi(v) = 2x_0^2 - 2x_1^2 - \cdots - 2x_{10}^2 = 2 \left(\frac{\Delta}{4} \right).$$

Using theorem 6, the problem reduces to a problem in the lattice L , that of investigating the existence of integers $x_0, \dots, x_{10}$ such that if $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{10}) \in L$ then the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(x_0, \dots, x_{10}) &= 1, \\ x \cdot x &= -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{10}^2 \\ &= -\left(\frac{\Delta}{4} \right), \text{ and} \\ (10) \quad y \cdot x = 0 &\implies y \cdot y \neq 1, \text{ for every } y \in L. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of such integers is equivalent to X covering an Enriques surface.

The set of negative self intersection elements of L span an open convex cone in $\mathbb{Z}^{11} \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and we refer to [2] for details. We will utilize the techniques of Vinberg from [15] to understand the existence of integers as above.

All automorphisms of L are generated by reflections and a fundamental region for negative self intersecting vectors in L is bounded by reflecting hyperplanes. Studying the nature of these hyperplanes, as in [15], we conclude that the conditions in the set of equations (10) holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(x_0, \dots, x_{10}) &= 1, \\ -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{10}^2 &= -\left(\frac{\Delta}{4} \right), \\ x_1 &\geq \cdots \geq x_{10} > 0, \\ x_0 &\geq x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \text{ and} \\ 3x_0 &> x_1 + \cdots + x_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

Let P denote the set of all $x \in L$ satisfying the above conditions.

The rest of this case is elementary and we summarize the results in two technical lemmas.

Lemma 8. *There is no $x \in P$ with $x \cdot x = -1, -2, -4$.*

Proof. Let $P(m) = \{x \in P \mid x = (m, x_1, \dots, x_{10})\}$. Then it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in P(3m)} (x \cdot x) &= 5 - 4m, \quad m > 2, \\ \max_{x \in P(6)} (x \cdot x) &= -5, \\ \max_{x \in P(3m+1)} (x \cdot x) &= 1 - 4m, \quad m \geq 1, \\ \max_{x \in P(3m+2)} (x \cdot x) &= 9 - 8m, \quad m \geq 3, \\ \max_{x \in P(8)} (x \cdot x) &= -12, \\ \max_{x \in P(5)} (x \cdot x) &= -7. \end{aligned}$$

These maximum values are achieved by the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} &[3m, m, \dots, m, m-2, 1], \\ &[6, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 1], \\ &[3m+1, m+1, m, \dots, m, 1], \\ &[3m+2, m+2, m, \dots, m, 3], \\ &[8, 4, 2, \dots, 2], \\ &[5, 3, 1, \dots, 1], \text{ respectively.} \end{aligned}$$

It is now clear that -1 and -2 are never achieved. And if $x \cdot x = -4$, then $x \in P(4)$. But none of the vectors in $P(4)$ achieve -4 . $\square$

Lemma 9. *For every positive integer N , other than $1, 2$ and 4 , there is an $x \in P$ such that $x \cdot x = -N$.*

Proof. In the following table each of the given vectors is in P , and moreover $X_m(k) \cdot X_m(k) = -(m + 24k)$, $Y_m \cdot Y_m = -m$, $Z(n) \cdot Z(n) = -(4n - 1)$, and $W(n) \cdot W(n) = -(4n - 3)$. This then proves the lemma.

$$\begin{aligned} X_0(k) &= [9k + 4, 3k + 2, 3k + 1, \dots, 3k + 1, 3k - 1, 2], \quad k \geq 1. \\ X_2(k) &= [9k + 4, 3k + 2, 3k + 1, \dots, 3k + 1, 3k, 3k, 2], \quad k \geq 1. \\ X_4(k) &= [12k + 4, 4k + 2, 4k + 1, \dots, 4k + 1, 4k, 1], \quad k \geq 1. \\ X_6(k) &= [9k + 5, 3k + 2, 3k + 2, 3k + 1, \dots, 3k + 1, 2], \quad k \geq 1. \\ X_8(k) &= [9k + 7, 3k + 3, 3k + 2, \dots, 3k + 2, 3k, 2], \quad k \geq 1. \\ X_{10}(k) &= [12k + 7, 4k + 3, 4k + 2, \dots, 4k + 2, 4k + 1, 1], \quad k \geq 0. \\ X_{12}(k) &= [12k + 9, 4k + 3, \dots, 4k + 3, 4k + 2, 4k + 1, 1], \quad k \geq 0. \\ X_{14}(k) &= [9k + 8, 3k + 3, 3k + 3, 3k + 2, \dots, 3k + 2, 2], \quad k \geq 0. \\ X_{16}(k) &= [9k + 10, 3k + 4, 3k + 3, \dots, 3k + 3, 3k + 1, 2], \quad k \geq 1. \\ X_{18}(k) &= [12k + 12, 4k + 4, \dots, 4k + 4, 4k + 3, 4k + 2, 1], \quad k \geq 0. \\ X_{20}(k) &= [6k + 12, 2k + 6, 2k + 3, \dots, 2k + 3, 4], \quad k \geq 1. \\ X_{22}(k) &= [9k + 11, 3k + 4, 3k + 4, 3k + 3, \dots, 3k + 3, 2], \quad k \geq 0. \\ Y_6 &= [4, 1, \dots, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

$$Y_8 = [6, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1].$$

$$Y_{20} = [6, 2, 2, 1, \dots, 1].$$

$$Z(n) = [3n + 1, n + 1, n, \dots, n, 1], \quad n \geq 1.$$

$$W(n) = [3n, n, \dots, n, n - 1, n - 1, 1], \quad n \geq 2. \quad \square$$

Recalling that $\Delta = 4ab - c^2$, these two lemmas complete the proofs of **III-2** and **III-3**.

5. THE OTHER CASES

Let $\{u, v\}$ be a basis of the transcendental lattice giving the matrix representation as in (1), and as before let $\{u_1, u_2\}$ be the basis of U , and $\{v_1, v_2\}$ the basis of $U(2)$.

5.1. abc is odd.

Consider the mapping $\phi : T_X \rightarrow \Lambda^-$ defined generically as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u) &= a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + a_3 v_1 + a_4 v_2 + \omega_1 \\ \phi(v) &= b_1 u_1 + b_2 u_2 + b_3 v_1 + b_4 v_2 + \omega_2 \end{aligned}$$

where the a_i 's and b_i 's are integers, $\omega_i \in E_8(2)$. If $\phi(u) \cdot \phi(u) = 2a$ and $\phi(v) \cdot \phi(v) = 2b$, then a_1, a_2, b_1 and b_2 are odd. But this forces $\phi(u) \cdot \phi(v)$ to be even. Hence T_X has no embedding into Λ^- .

This proves **IV**.

5.2. c is odd and ab is even.

Consider the mapping $\phi : T_X \rightarrow \Lambda^-$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u) &= au_1 + u_2 + \frac{1}{2}(c - ab - 1)v_1, \\ \phi(v) &= u_1 + bu_2 + v_2. \end{aligned}$$

This is an embedding and by theorem 6 it is primitive. Let

$$f = c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + c_3 v_1 + c_4 v_2 + \omega \in \Lambda^-$$

where $\omega \in E_8(2)$.

$f \cdot \phi(u) = 0$ and $f \cdot \phi(v) = 0$ forces $c_1 c_2$ to be even. Then $f \cdot f \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and hence cannot be -2 .

This proves **II** and completes the proof of theorem 1.

Acknowledgements: I thank my colleagues A. Degtyarev, A. Kerimov, A. Klyachko and E. Yalçın for numerous discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barth, W., Peters, C. and Van de Ven, A., Compact Complex Manifolds, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [2] Benedetti, R. and Petronio, C., Lectures on Hyperbolic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Universitext, 1992.
- [3] Birkhof, G. and Mac Lane, S., A Survey of Modern Algebra, MacMillan, 1941.
- [4] Degtyarev, A., Itenberg, I. and Kharlamov, V., Real Enriques Surfaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol: 1746, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [5] Horikawa, E., On the periods of Enriques surfaces-I, Math. Ann. 234 (1978), 73-88.
- [6] Horikawa, E., On the periods of Enriques surfaces-II, Math. Ann. 235 (1978), 217-246.
- [7] Keum, J. H., Every algebraic Kummer surface is the K3-cover of an Enriques surface, Nagoya Math. J., 118 (1990), 99-110.
- [8] Morrison, D. R., On K3 surfaces with large Picard number, Invent. Math., 75 (1984), 105-121.
- [9] Milnor, J. and Husemoller, D., Symmetric Bilinear Forms, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [10] Nikulin, V., On Kummer surfaces, Math. USSR Isvestija, 9 (1975), 261-275.
- [11] Nikulin, V., Integral quadratic bilinear forms and some of their applications, Math. USSR Isvestija, 14 (1980), 103-167.
- [12] Niven, I., Zuckerman, H.S. and Montgomery, H.L., An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, John Wiley and Sons, 1991.
- [13] Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro, I. I.; Šafarevič, I. R. Torelli's theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 35, (1971), 530-572.
- [14] Shioda, T.; Inose, H. On singular K3 surfaces. Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, pp. 119-136. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977.
- [15] Vinberg, E. B., On groups of unit elements of certain quadratic forms, Math. USSR Sbornik, 16 (1972), 17-35.

BİLKENT UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TR-06533 ANKARA, TURKEY

E-mail address: sertoz@fen.bilkent.edu.tr